## Limited Dependent Variables

Professor V.M. Auken, PhD

## Maximum likelihood estimation

In the model  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ , it is assumed that the errors are normally and independently distributed with constant variance  $\sigma^2$  i.e.,

$$\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$$
.

The normal density function for the errors is

$$f(\varepsilon_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\varepsilon_i^2\right]$$
  $i = 1, 2, ..., n.$ 

The likelihood function is the joint density of  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, ..., \varepsilon_n$  given as

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(\varepsilon_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \varepsilon' \varepsilon\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)' (y - X\beta)\right].$$

Since the log transformation is monotonic, so we maximize  $\ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)$  instead of  $L(\beta, \sigma^2)$ .

ln 
$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta).$$

The maximum likelihood estimators (m.l.e.) of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are obtained by equating the first order derivatives of  $\ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)$  with respect to  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  to zero as follows:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta} &= \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} 2X'(y - X\beta) = 0\\ \frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta). \end{split}$$

The likelihood equations are given by

$$X'X\beta = X'y$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}(y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}).$$

Since rank(X) = k, so that the unique m.l.e. of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are obtained as

$$\tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}).$$

Next we verify that these values maximize the likelihood function. First we find

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X' X$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial^2 (\sigma^2)^2} = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6} (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} X'(y - X\beta).$$

Thus the Hessian matrix of second order partial derivatives of  $\ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)$  with respect to  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2 \partial \beta} & \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial^2 (\sigma^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is negative definite at  $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$  and  $\sigma^2 = \tilde{\sigma}^2$ .

This ensures that the likelihood function is maximized at these values.

Comparing with OLSEs, we find that

- i. OLSE and m.l.e. of eta are same. So m.l.e. of eta is also an unbiased estimator of eta .
- ii. OLSE of  $\sigma^2$  is  $s^2$  which is related to m.l.e. of  $\sigma^2$  as  $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n-k}{n} s^2$ . So m.l.e. of  $\sigma^2$  is a biased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

## Cramer-Rao lower bound

Let  $\theta = (\beta, \sigma^2)$ '. Assume that both  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. If  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$ , then the Cramer-Rao lower bound for  $\hat{\theta}$  is grater than or equal to the matrix inverse of

$$\begin{split} I(\theta) &= -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta^2} \right] & -E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} \right] \\ -E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2 \partial \beta} \right] & -E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial^2 (\sigma^2)^2} \right] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -E \left[ -\frac{X'X}{\sigma^2} \right] & -E \left[ \frac{X'(y - X\beta)}{\sigma^4} \right] \\ -E \left[ \frac{(y - X\beta)'X}{\sigma^4} \right] & -E \left[ \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)}{\sigma^6} \right] \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{X'X}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$[I(\theta)]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

is the Cramer-Rao lower bound matrix of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

The covariance matrix of OLSEs of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  is

$$\sum_{OLS} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-k} \end{bmatrix}$$

which means that the Cramer-Rao

bound is attained for the covariance of b but not for s2.

## **QUESTIONS!**

