Chapter 9 Medians and Order Statistics

Sultan ALPAR associate professor, IITU s.alpar@iitu.edu.kz

Outline

- ◗ **Minimum andmaximum**
- ◗ Selection in expected lineartime
- Selection in worst-case lineartime

Order statistics

- ◗ The *i*th **order statistic** of a set of *n* elements is the *i*th smallest element.
- ◗ The **minimum** is the first orderstatistic (*i* =1).
- ◗ The **maximum** is the *n*th order statistic (*i* = *n*).
- A median is the "halfway point" of the set.
- When *n* is odd, the median is unique, at $i = (n + 1)/2$.
- When *n* is even, there are two medians:
	- \triangleright The **lower median:** $i = \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$
	- \triangleright The **upper median:** $i = \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$
	- We mean lower median when we use the phrase "the median".

The selection problem

- How can we find the *i*th order statistic of a set and what is the running time?
- \triangleright **Input:** A set *A* of *n* (distinct) number and a number *i*, with $1 \le i \le n$.
- **Output:** The element $x \in A$ that is larger than exactly $i 1$ other elements of *A*.
- ◗ The **selection problem** can be solved in *O***(***n***lg***n***)** time.
	- ◗ Sort the numbers using an *O*(*n*lg*n*)‐time algorithm, such as heapsort or mergesort.
	- **Then return the** *i***th element in the sorted array.**
- Are there faster algorithms?
	- ◗ An *O*(*n*)‐time algorithm would be presented in this chapter.

Finding minimum

- ◗ We can easily obtain an upper bound of *n*−1 comparisons for finding the minimum of a set of *n* elements.
	- Examine each element in turn and keep track of the smallest one.
	- The algorithm is optimal, because each element, except the minimum, must be compared to a smaller element at least once.

MINIMUM(*A*)

- 1. *min* \leftarrow A[1]
- 2. **for** $i \leftarrow 2$ **to** length[A]
- 3. **do if** $min > A[i]$
- 4. **then** $min \leftarrow A[i]$
- 5. **return** *min*
- ◗ The maximum can be found in exactly the same way by replacing the $>$ with $<$ in the above algorithm.

Simultaneous minimumand maximum

- ◗ Some applications need both the minimum and maximum.
	- ◗ Find the minimum and maximum independently, using *n*–1 comparisons for each, for a total of $2n-2$ comparisons.
- ◗ In fact, at most 3*n*/2comparisons are needed:
	- ◗ Maintain the minimum and maximum of elements seen so far.
	- **▶ Process elements in pairs.**
	- Compare the elements of a pair to each other.
	- \triangleright Then compare the larger element to the maximum so far, and compare the smaller element to the minimum so far.
- ◗ This leads to only 3 comparisons for every 2 elements.

Simultaneous minimumand maximum

■ An observation

- If we compare the elements of a pair to each other, the larger can't be the minimum and the smaller can't be the maximum.
- So we just need to compare the larger to the current maximum and the smaller to the current minimum.
- ◗ It costs 3 comparisons for every 2 elements.
	- ◗ The previous method costs 2 comparisons for each element.

Simultaneous minimumand maximum

- Setting up the initial values for the min and max depends on whether *n* is odd oreven.
	- **If** *n* is even, compare the first two elements and assign the larger to max and the smaller to min.
	- ◗ If *n* is odd, set both min and max to the first element.
- **If** *n* is even, # of comparisons = $\frac{3(n-2)}{2}$ $+1 =$ 3*n* $-2.$
- 2 2 **If** *n* is odd, # of comparisons = $\frac{3(n-1)}{2}$ $= 3[n/2]$ 2
- \triangleright In either case, the # of comparisons is $\leq 3[n/2]$

Outline

- **Minimum and maximum**
- ◗ **Selection in expected linear time**
- Selection in worst-case lineartime

Selection in expected linear time

- **In fact, selection of the** *i***th smallest element of the array A can** be done in $\Theta(n)$ time.
- We first present a randomized version in this section and then present a deterministic version in the next section.
- The function RANDOMIZED-SELECT:
	- is a divide-and-conquer algorithm,
	- uses RANDOMIZED-PARTITION from the quicksort algorithmin Chapter 7, and
	- **P** recurses on one side of the partition only.

RANDOMIZED‐SELECT procedure

- 1. RANDOMIZED‐SELECT(*A*, *p*, *r*,*i*)
- 2. **if** $p = r$
- 3. **then return***A*[*p*]
- $q \leftarrow$ RANDOMIZED-PARTITION (A, p, r) 4.

$$
5. \qquad k \leftarrow q-p+1
$$

- 6. **if** $i = k$ /* the pivot value is the answer */
- 7. **then return** *A*[*q*]
- 8. **elseif** *i* < *k*
- 9. **then return** RANDOMIZED-SELECT(*A*, p , q -1, *i*)
- 10. **else return** RANDOMIZED‐SELECT(*A*, *q*, *r*, *i* −*k*)

RANDOMIZED‐SELECT procedure

Algorithm analysis

■ The worst case: always recurse on a subarray that is only 1 element smaller than the previous subarray.

$$
\begin{aligned} \textbf{D} \ \mathcal{T}(n) &= \mathcal{T}(n-1) + \Theta(n) \\ &= \Theta(n^2) \end{aligned}
$$

■ The **best case:** always recurse on a subarray that has half of the elements smaller than the previoussubarray.

$$
\begin{aligned} \n\blacktriangleright \; T(n) &= T(n/2) + \Theta(n) \\ \n&= \Theta(n) \text{ (Master Theorem, case 3)} \n\end{aligned}
$$

Algorithm analysis

• The average case:

- \triangleright We will show that $T(n) = \Theta(n)$.
- \bullet For $1 \leq k \leq n$, the probability that the subarray $A[p \dots q]$ has k elements is 1/*n*.
- \triangleright To obtain an upper bound, we assume that $T(n)$ is monotonically increasing and that the *i*th smallest element is always in the larger subarray.
- So, we have

$$
T(n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (T(\max(k-1, n-k) + O(n)).
$$

\n
$$
T(n) \leq -\sum_{k=1}^{n} (T(\max(k-1, n-k) + O(n)) = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} (T(\max(k-1, n-k)) + O(n))
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} T(k) + O(n).
$$

Algorithm analysis

 $E[T(n)]$

- Solve this recurrence bysubstitution:
	- \triangleright Assume $T(n) \leq cn$ for sufficiently large *c*.
	- **▶ The function** described by the *O*(*n*) term is bounded by *an* for all *n* > 0.

▶ Thus, if we assume that

- \triangleright $T(n) = O(1)$ for
- $n < 2c/(c 4a),$
- \triangleright we have $T(n) = O(n)$.

$$
\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}^{n-1} ck + an
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2c}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} k \right) + an
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2c}{n} \left(\frac{(n-1)n}{2} - \frac{(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1) \lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{2} \right) + an
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{2c}{n} \left(\frac{(n-1)n}{2} - \frac{(n/2 - 2)(n/2 - 1)}{2} \right) + an
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2c}{n} \left(\frac{n^2 - n}{2} - \frac{n^2/4 - 3n/2 + 2}{2} \right) + an
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{c}{n} \left(\frac{3n^2}{4} + \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) + an
$$
\n
$$
= c \left(\frac{3n}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{n} \right) + an
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{3cn}{4} + \frac{c}{2} + an
$$
\n
$$
= cn - \left(\frac{cn}{4} - \frac{c}{2} - an \right).
$$

Outline

- **Minimum and maximum**
- ◗ Selection in expected lineartime
- ◗ **Selection in worst‐case lineartime**

SELECT algorithm

- Idea: Guarantee a good split when the array is partitioned.
- The SELECT algorithm:
	- 1. Divide *n* elements into groups of 5 elements.
	- 2. Find median of each of the*n*/5 groups.
		- ϵ Run insertion sort on each group.
		- ϵ Then just pick the median from each group.
	- 3. Use SELECT recursively to find median *x* of the*n*/5 medians.
	- 4. Partition the *n* elements around*x*.
		- Let *x* be the *k*th element of the array after partitioning.
		- There are *k* −1 elements on the low side of the partition and *n* −*k* elements on the high side.

SELECT algorithm

- 5. Now there are threepossibilities:
	- \in If *i* = *k*, then return*x*.
	- ϵ If *i* < *k*, then use SELECT recursively to find *i* th smallest element on the lowside.
	- € If*i* > *k*,then use SELECT recursively to find (*i*−*k*)th smallest element on the highside.

- \circ : The median of a group.
- \rightarrow : From larger to smaller.
- **Elements in this region are** greater than *x*.
	- : Elements in this regionare samller than *x*.

Time complexity

- \triangleright At least half of the medians are $\geq x$.
	- **•** Precisely, at least $\lceil n/5 \rceil/2$ medians $\geq x$.
- \triangleright These group contributes 3 elements that are $> x$, except for 2 of the groups:
	- the group containing *x*, and
	- ◗ the group with *<* 5elements.
- The number of elements greater than *x* is at least:
	- ◗ 3 ([n/5]/ 2−2) **3***n***/10** −**6**.
- ◗ Similarly, atleast 3*n*/10 − 6 elements are less than *x*.
- ◗ Thus, SELECT is called recursively on **7***n***/10+ 6** elements in step 5.

Time complexity

The SELECT algorithm:

- 1. Divide *n* elements into groups of 5 elements.*O*(*n*)
- 2. Find median of each of the *n*/5 groups. *O*(*n*)
	- € Run insertion sort on each group.
	- ϵ Then just pick the median from each group.
- 3. Use SELECT recursively to find median *x* ofthe *n*/5medians.
	- *T*(*n*/5)

- 4. Partition the *n* elements around *x*.*O*(*n*)
	- ◗ Let *x* be the *k*th element of the array after partitioning.
	- ◗ There are *k* −1 elements on the low side of the partition and *n* −*k* elements on the high side.
- 5. Now there are three possibilities: $T(7n/10 + 6)$
	- ϵ If *i* = *k*, then return*x*.
	- ϵ If *i* < *k*, then use SELECT recursively to find *i* th smallest element on the lowside.
	- € If*i* > *k*,then use SELECT recursively to find (*i*[−] *k*)th smallest element on the highside.
- Time complexity: $T(n) \le T(n/5) + T(7n/10 + 6) + O(n)$.

Time complexity

◗ Solve this recurrence bysubstitution:

- \triangleright Assume $T(n) \leq cn$ for sufficiently large *c*.
- \triangleright The function described by the $O(n)$ term is bounded by an for all $n > 0$.
- ◗ Then, we have
	- $T(n) \leq c n/5 + c(7n/10 + 6) + an$
		- \leq *cn* / 5 + *c* + 7*cn* / 10 + 6*c* + *an*
		- $= 9cn/10 + 7c + an$
		- $=$ $cn + (-cn/10 + 7c + an)$
- **•** This last quantity is $\leq cn$ if we choose $c \geq 20a$.

$$
-cn/10+7c + an \le 0
$$

\n
$$
cn/10-7c \ge an \text{Notice: } (n/n-70) \le 2 \text{ for } n \ge 140.
$$

\n
$$
c(n-70) \ge 10an \sum_{1}^{n} c \ge 10a(n/(n-70)) \log^1
$$

Conclusion

Thus, the running time is linear because these algorithms do not sort;

- The linear-time behavior is not a result of assumptions about the input, as was the case for the sorting algorithms in Chapter 8.
- Sorting requires $\Omega(n \lg n)$ time in the comparison model, even on average, and thus the method of sorting and indexing presented in the introduction to this chapter is asymptotically inefficient.